

# Appendix A

## Relationships among Yields

There are a number of relationships between the different yields  $\Phi_{ST}(t)$ ,  $\Phi_{TT}(t)$ ,  $\Phi_{SS}(t)$ , and  $\Phi_{TS}(t)$  that can be derived.

### A.1 Steady-State Yields

First, in the Schrödinger formalism, using  $X = S$  or  $T$  to indicate initial singlet or triplet states, since

$$\Phi_{XS}(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \psi^*(t) Q_S \psi(t) f(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{XT}(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \psi^*(t) Q_T \psi(t) f(t) dt, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

one gets that

$$\Phi_{XS}(\infty) + \Phi_{XT}(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \psi^*(t) \psi(t) f(t) dt = \int_0^\infty f(t) dt = \mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{N}(0) = 1 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

because the time-dependences  $f(t)$  are always normalized ( $\mathcal{L}(0)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(0)$  are defined in Section 3.1.2). The above is done assuming  $\psi(t)$  is normalized so that the total probability is always equal to one. The  $\psi(t)$  in eqs. (2.24) and (2.26) do not behave this way.

Similarly, in the Liouville formalism, one has (using  $\rho_X(t)$  in place of  $\rho(t)$  to denote the initial state)

$$\Phi_{XS}(\infty) + \Phi_{XT}(\infty) = k_S \text{tr} \int_0^\infty Q_S \rho_X(t) dt + k_T \text{tr} \int_0^\infty Q_T \rho_X(t) dt. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Then, since

$$\frac{d\rho_X(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H(t), \rho_X(t)]_- - \frac{k_S}{2} [Q_S, \rho_X(t)]_+ - \frac{k_T}{2} [Q_T, \rho_X(t)]_+ \quad (\text{A.4})$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \text{tr} \left[ \frac{d\rho_X(t)}{dt} \right] dt \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty \text{tr} [H(t), \rho_X(t)]_- dt - \frac{k_S}{2} \int_0^\infty \text{tr} [Q_S, \rho_X(t)]_+ dt - \frac{k_T}{2} \int_0^\infty \text{tr} [Q_T, \rho_X(t)]_+ dt \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

which gives

$$\text{tr}\rho_X(\infty) - \text{tr}\rho_X(0) = -k_S \text{tr} \int_0^\infty Q_S \rho_X(t) dt - k_T \text{tr} \int_0^\infty Q_T \rho_X(t) dt \quad (\text{A.6})$$

or

$$-\text{tr}\rho_X(0) = -\Phi_{XS}(\infty) - \Phi_{XT}(\infty) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and since  $\rho_X(0) = Q_X / \text{tr}Q_X$ , this gives

$$1 = \Phi_{XS}(\infty) + \Phi_{XT}(\infty). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The above two proofs hold for any time-dependent Hamiltonian  $H(t)$ , for any set of  $k_S, k_T$ , and for any cage dynamics time-dependence  $f(t)$  that satisfies  $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt = 1$ . The result of the above two proofs is reasonable since there are only two decay channels, and all the initial probability must eventually decay via one channel or the other.

## A.2 Time-Dependent Yields

The next few proofs attempt to verify the relationship

$$k_S \Phi_{ST}(t) = 3k_T \Phi_{TS}(t) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

which empirically seems to hold at all times for any steady Hamiltonian (even for very anisotropic Hamiltonians that do not commute with  $Q_S$  or  $Q_T$ ), any set of  $k_S, k_T$ , and for some oscillating field configurations. All of the following proofs use the Liouville formalism.

### A.2.1 $\rho(t)$ is Hermitian

The first thing to note is that when  $A(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}H(t) - \frac{1}{2}(k_S Q_S + k_T Q_T)$

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H(t), \rho(t)]_- - \frac{k_S}{2}[Q_S, \rho(t)]_+ - \frac{k_T}{2}[Q_T, \rho(t)]_+ = A(t)\rho(t) + \rho(t)A^+(t). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Here  $A^+(t)$  is the adjoint (complex conjugate transpose) of  $A(t)$ .

$$A^+(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar}H^+(t) - \frac{1}{2}(k_S Q_S^+ + k_T Q_T^+) = \frac{i}{\hbar}H(t) - \frac{1}{2}(k_S Q_S + k_T Q_T) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

holds because  $H = H^+$  (since  $H$  is Hermitian) and  $Q_S = Q_S^+$  and  $Q_T = Q_T^+$  (since  $Q_S$  and  $Q_T$  are real and symmetric).

Thus, since the  $\rho(0)$  used here are always Hermitian,  $\rho(0) = \rho^+(0)$  holds, and initially one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho^+(t)}{dt} &= [A(t)\rho(t) + \rho(t)A^+(t)]^+ \\ &= \rho^+(t)A^+(t) + A(t)\rho^+(t) \\ &= \rho(t)A^+(t) + A(t)\rho(t) \\ &= A(t)\rho(t) + \rho(t)A^+(t) \\ &= \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Thus, since  $\rho(0)$  begins as Hermitian,  $\rho(t)$  remains Hermitian for all time  $t$  (as in eq. (2.41)). This result holds for any set of  $k_S, k_T$  and any time-dependent Hamiltonian  $H(t)$ .

### A.2.2 $\Phi_{ST}(t) = 3\Phi_{TS}(t)$ when $k = k_S = k_T$

Next, if  $k_S = k_T$ , the term  $\frac{1}{2}(k_S Q_S + k_T Q_T)$  becomes  $\frac{k}{2}$  giving  $A(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}H(t) - \frac{k}{2}$  and  $A^+(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar}H(t) - \frac{k}{2}$ . These allow  $\rho_X(t)$  ( $\rho(t)$  for the initial state  $X$ ) to be written

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_X(t) &= e^{\int_0^t -\frac{i}{\hbar}H(t')dt'} \rho_X(0) e^{\int_0^t \frac{i}{\hbar}H(t')dt'} e^{-kt} \\ &= R(t) \rho_X(0) R^{-1}(t) e^{-kt}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.13}$$

Thus one gets, using  $\rho_X(0) = Q_X / \text{tr} Q_X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{ST}(t) &= k \int_0^t \text{tr}[Q_T \rho_S(t')] dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t \text{tr}\left[Q_T R(t') \frac{Q_S}{\text{tr} Q_S} R^{-1}(t') e^{-kt'}\right] dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t \text{tr}\left[Q_T R(t') Q_S R^{-1}(t')\right] \frac{1}{\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t [\text{tr}(R(t') Q_S R^{-1}(t')) - \text{tr}(Q_S R(t') Q_S R^{-1}(t'))] \frac{1}{\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t [\text{tr} Q_S - \text{tr}(Q_S R(t') Q_S R^{-1}(t'))] \frac{1}{\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt'\end{aligned}\tag{A.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}3\Phi_{TS}(t) &= 3k \int_0^t \text{tr}[Q_S \rho_T(t')] dt' \\ &= 3k \int_0^t \text{tr}\left[Q_S R(t') \frac{Q_T}{\text{tr} Q_T} R^{-1}(t') e^{-kt'}\right] dt' \\ &= 3k \int_0^t \text{tr}\left[Q_S R(t') Q_T R^{-1}(t')\right] \frac{1}{3\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t \text{tr}\left[Q_S R(t') Q_T R^{-1}(t')\right] \frac{1}{\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t [\text{tr}(Q_S R(t') R^{-1}(t')) - \text{tr}(Q_S R(t') Q_S R^{-1}(t'))] \frac{1}{\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt' \\ &= k \int_0^t [\text{tr} Q_S - \text{tr}(Q_S R(t') Q_S R^{-1}(t'))] \frac{1}{\text{tr} Q_S} e^{-kt'} dt'\end{aligned}\tag{A.15}$$

so that for any time-dependent Hamiltonian  $H(t)$  when  $k = k_S = k_T$ , the two quantities  $\Phi_{ST}(t)$  and  $3\Phi_{TS}(t)$  are equivalent (and so (A.9) holds).

Note that with the relations (A.8) and (A.9) proved so far, one gets

$$\Phi_{TT}(\infty) = 1 - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_{ST}(\infty) \quad \text{or} \quad 3\Phi_{TT}(\infty) = 3 - \Phi_{ST}(\infty)\tag{A.16}$$

which seem to hold in Fig. 2.1 for example.

### A.2.3 $k_S \Phi_{ST}(t) = 3k_T \Phi_{TS}(t)$ when $k_S \neq k_T$

Finally, if  $H(t)$  and  $A(t)$  in eq. (A.10) are constants (no restrictions on  $k_S, k_T$  this time), one can expand the Hermitian matrix  $\rho(t)$  in terms of  $t$

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where  $\alpha_n$  are time-independent Hermitian matrices. Eq. (A.17) can be evaluated using

$$\rho(t) = \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + (\dots(\alpha_{n-2} + (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n t)t)\dots)t)t. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Plugging eq. (A.17) into eq. (A.10) gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \alpha_n t^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A \alpha_n + \alpha_n A^+) t^n \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \alpha_{n+1} t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A \alpha_n + \alpha_n A^+) t^n \quad (\text{A.20})$$

or, equating powers of  $t$ ,

$$\alpha_0 = \rho(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} (A \alpha_n + \alpha_n A^+) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

The above way can be useful for calculating yields versus time, but probably is comparable in speed to a Runge-Kutta method.

Using the above, or its equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \rho(0) \\ \alpha_n &= \frac{1}{n} (A \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1} A^+) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

one gets that

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} A^r \rho(0) (A^+)^{n-r} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= A \rho(0) + \rho(0) A^+ \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{2} [A^2 \rho(0) + 2A \rho(0) A^+ + \rho(0) (A^+)^2] \\ \alpha_3 &= \frac{1}{6} [A^3 \rho(0) + 3A^2 \rho(0) A^+ + 3A \rho(0) (A^+)^2 + \rho(0) (A^+)^3] \\ \alpha_4 &= \frac{1}{24} [A^4 \rho(0) + 4A^3 \rho(0) A^+ + 6A^2 \rho(0) (A^+)^2 + 4A \rho(0) (A^+)^3 + \rho(0) (A^+)^4]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Also, using eq. (A.23) and the facts that  $\alpha_0$  is Hermitian and  $(ABC)^+ = C^+ B^+ A^+$  (and swapping  $r$  for  $n-r$ ) one gets

$$\alpha_n^+ = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} A^{n-r} \alpha_0^+ (A^+)^r = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} A^r \alpha_0 (A^+)^{n-r} = \alpha_n. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Thus one has that all  $\alpha_n$  (and so  $\rho(t)$ ) are Hermitian.

Next, to show that the relation

$$\frac{1}{k_T}\Phi_{ST}(t) = \frac{3}{k_S}\Phi_{TS}(t) \quad (\text{A.26})$$

is true, it is useful to assume (A.26) is true and to convert it to an equivalent expression (like (A.38)) that can be proven true. Thus one has, using

$$\rho_S(0) = \alpha_0 = \frac{Q_S}{\text{tr}Q_S} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_T(0) = \beta_0 = \frac{Q_T}{\text{tr}Q_T} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

where  $\alpha_n$  uses eq. (A.23) with  $\rho(0) = \rho_S(0)$  and  $\beta_n$  is  $\alpha_n$  in eq. (A.23) when  $\rho(0) = \rho_T(0)$ , that

$$\text{tr} \left( Q_T \int_0^t \rho_S(t') dt' \right) = 3 \text{tr} \left( Q_S \int_0^t \rho_T(t') dt' \right) \quad (\text{A.28})$$

$$\text{tr} \left( Q_T \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(t')^n dt' \right) = 3 \text{tr} \left( Q_S \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(t')^n dt' \right) \quad (\text{A.29})$$

$$\text{tr} \left( Q_T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n t^{n+1}}{n+1} \right) = 3 \text{tr} \left( Q_S \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n t^{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \quad (\text{A.30})$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \text{tr}(Q_T \alpha_n) t^{n+1} = 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \text{tr}(Q_S \beta_n) t^{n+1} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

which holds for all  $t$  and so must give

$$\text{tr}(Q_T \alpha_n) = 3 \text{tr}(Q_S \beta_n) \quad (\text{A.32})$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \frac{\text{tr}(Q_T A^r Q_S (A^+)^{n-r})}{\text{tr}Q_S} = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \frac{3 \text{tr}(Q_S A^r Q_T (A^+)^{n-r})}{\text{tr}Q_T} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \frac{\text{tr}(Q_T A^r Q_S (A^+)^{n-r})}{\text{tr}Q_S} = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \frac{\text{tr}(Q_S A^r Q_T (A^+)^{n-r})}{\text{tr}Q_S} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_T A^r Q_S (A^+)^{n-r}) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S A^r Q_T (A^+)^{n-r}) \quad (\text{A.35})$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \text{tr}(A^r Q_S (A^+)^{n-r}) \\ - \text{tr}(Q_S A^r Q_S (A^+)^{n-r}) \end{bmatrix}}{r!(n-r)!} = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \text{tr}(Q_S A^r (A^+)^{n-r}) \\ - \text{tr}(Q_S A^r Q_S (A^+)^{n-r}) \end{bmatrix}}{r!(n-r)!} \quad (\text{A.36})$$

which gives when the lower terms (which are equal) are dropped and the upper left trace is rearranged the result

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S (A^+)^{n-r} A^r) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S A^r (A^+)^{n-r}). \quad (\text{A.37})$$

Then using  $\text{tr}(M) = \text{tr}(M^T)$  and  $(ABC)^T = C^T B^T A^T$  ( $A^T$  is the transpose of  $A$ ), (A.37) reduces to

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S (A^+)^{n-r} A^r) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S (A^*)^{n-r} (A^T)^r). \quad (\text{A.38})$$

Note that if the Hamiltonian  $H$  is all real, one gets from eq. (A.10) that  $A^+ = A^*$  and  $A = A^T$ . This lets eq. (A.38) convert to:

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S(A^+)^{n-r} A^r) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r!(n-r)!} \text{tr}(Q_S(A^+)^{n-r} A^r) \quad (\text{A.39})$$

which lets the relation  $k_S \Phi_{ST}(t) = 3k_T \Phi_{TS}(t)$  hold, as desired.

The proof for more general steady Hamiltonians (for which the relation still seems to hold) is less obvious. One case where the Hamiltonian is complex is when a magnetic field has a component along the  $y$  axis. If this  $y$  component is in the  $xy$  or  $yz$  plane, it can be treated via a coordinate transform as if the field were completely in the  $xz$  plane, thus giving a real Hamiltonian and letting the above proof hold. Nevertheless, the relation seems to hold for cases where the field has nonzero components along the  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  axes simultaneously, cases in which the steady Hamiltonian will always contain some imaginary part.

Also, since one can use the rotating frame treatment to convert a time-dependent Hamiltonian into a time-independent one, the above proof should hold for rotating fields as well.

Note that if one could replace  $i$  by  $-i$  in eq. (A.10) (in effect this swaps  $A$  for  $A^+$ ) and get the same overall results (sort of like time-reversal symmetry), proof of (A.37) would be as easy as swapping  $r$  for  $n-r$ .

That both  $A^n(A^+)^n$  and  $(A^+)^n A^n$  are Hermitian can easily be proven using  $(AB)^+ = B^+A^+$ :

$$[A^n(A^+)^n]^+ = A^n(A^+)^n \quad \text{and} \quad [(A^+)^n A^n]^+ = (A^+)^n A^n \quad (\text{A.40})$$

Also, doing spot checks via Mathematica it seems that for a  $4 \times 4$   $Q_S$  and general  $A, n, m$  where  $A = -iH + R$  ( $H$  is Hermitian,  $R$  is real symmetric), one gets

$$Q_S A^n (A^+)^m Q_S = Q_S (A^+)^m A^n Q_S = \alpha Q_S \quad (\text{A.41})$$

with a complex conjugate of

$$Q_S A^m (A^+)^n Q_S = Q_S (A^+)^n A^m Q_S = \alpha^* Q_S \quad (\text{A.42})$$

where  $\alpha$  is a complex scalar. Also, when  $n = m$ , one gets eqs. (A.41) and (A.42) with the real scalar  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ . Eqs. (A.41) and (A.42) are important when examining eq. (A.37) since because  $Q_S^2 = Q_S$ ,

$$\text{tr}(Q_S A^n (A^+)^m Q_S) = \text{tr}(Q_S A^n (A^+)^m). \quad (\text{A.43})$$